Class and Section: MIS4173 Date: 04/01/2022

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 3**

Team 6:

Lee Hadel, Matthew Ortel, Tanner Rhodes

SR&R 3 Documentation Grade: **91** SR&R 3 Presentation Grade: **92**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 3 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  You all did a good job on your sprint. Take my feedback and change what needs to be changed. If you need anything, let me know.  Dr. Kisling (04/01/2022) |

#### SR&R 3 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 2 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 1 |
| **SR&R 3 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents New, Fixes, & Updates** |  |  |
| Previous Documentation Updates | **5** | 5 |
| Complete Data Flow Diagram Package | **20** | 16 |
| Navigation Diagram | **5** | 4 |
| Entity Relationship Diagrams | **10** | 9 |
| Program Plan showing logic and I/Os (IPO Chart with each program listed) | **10** | 9 |
| New Documentation | **5** | 5 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **7** | 6 |
| Sprint 3 Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Team Evaluation Document | **7** | 7 |
| **Updated Project Plan** | **6** | 6 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 91 |

#### SR&R 3 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Introduction to Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| DFD Package | **30** | 25 |
| Initial ERD & IPO Chart | **15** | 12 |
| Project Updates on Previous/New Information/Documentation | **10** | 10 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 3 Backlog | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 3 Burn Down Chart | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 3 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **10** | 10 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 92 |

**Comments:**

DOCUMENTATION

* Good job on making your report start looking like a business document. Looks good. Try to right align the page numbers on the table of contents and be sure to put the page number in place of the # symbol
* Do not have heading called Updated . . .
* DFD package should not have the UCD in it
* There should be only one CD and one UCD in the document—please fix and remove the Updated headings
* See all comments about DFD, ERD, IPO, NAV below in the PRESENTATION section
* HW & SW Specifications are fine
* Standard naming should be a table or list, not a paragraph—make it easy to understand
* Sprint items
  + Backlogs are better—need to see user requirements on backlogs
  + SCRUM meeting log is good
  + Burndown chart is good.
  + Sprint 3 Team Evaluation is very good. Have you gotten the DB connected?
* Project plan needs to be updated to show all sprints on the timeline—if you need help doing that let me know
* If you need help with anything, please let me know. I am here to help you all be successful

PRESENTATION

* Your presentation was good
* DFD Feedback:
  + Level 0 DFD
    - Datastore D4 is a miracle since it does not have a dataflow going to it—where does it get its data? Probably from Booksy?
    - You have 2 Process 6.0—one needs to change to 7.0
    - You have 4 datastores, but only 2 tables on your ERD—which is correct?
    - Datastore names do not match ERD table names
  + Level 1 DFD Process 3.0
    - Both processes cannot be labeled 3.1—3.1,3.2,3.3,3.n
    - According to Level 0 DFD, Admin only sends to 3.0, but on your level 1 DFD you say that Admin also receives from 3.0—either update level 0 or level 1
    - Dataflow names should be consistent from level 0 to level 1
* ERD looks fine – DFD datastores do not match the ERD table names; more datastores than tables—update which ever needs updating
* IPO chart is okay—you need to add what data is being entered
* Nav diagram does not have dashed return arrows
* Sprint items and PSPI were fine